Oxidative Radical Arylation of Anilines with Arylhydrazines and Dioxygen from Air

Josefa Hofmann, Hannelore Jasch, and Markus R. Heinrich*

Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Frie[dric](#page-5-0)h-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Schuhstraße 19, 91052 Erlangen, Germany

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Substituted 2](#page-5-0)-aminobiphenyls have been prepared from arylhydrazine hydrochlorides and anilines in biphasic radical arylation reactions with dioxygen from air as a most simple and readily available oxidant. Under optimized conditions, the free amino functionality of the aniline leads to high ortho:meta regioselectivities, now even for anilines bearing a donor substituent in the para position. Finally, the mild and metal-free new access to aminobiphenyls was shown to be applicable on a gram scale.

 \sum ubstituted biphenyls are valuable building blocks in many
fields of application.¹ One particularly important subgroup
of compounds are 2 aminohiphonyle ² which for arounds occur of compounds are 2-aminobiphenyls, $²$ which for example, occur</sup> as core structures of th[e t](#page-5-0)hree industrially produced fungizides boscalid, bixafen, and Xemium (Fig[ure](#page-5-0) 1).^{3–5} Not surprisingly, the large-scale syntheses of these compounds rely on organometallic cross-coupling reactions as k[ey](#page-5-0) steps.^{6−8}

Figure 1. Boscalid, bixafen, and Xemium.

Since radical arylation reactions 9 can basically offer a much more straightforward access to substituted biphenyls, mainly due to the fact that such arylatio[n](#page-5-0)s are comparable to C−H activation reactions $10,11$ and less functionalized starting materials are therefore required, there has recently been increasing interest i[n](#page-5-0) [im](#page-5-0)proving the reaction type that was first reported by Gomberg and Bachmann about 90 years ago.¹² Important challenges are, in particular, to conduct radical arylations with high regioselectivity¹³ and to lower the excess [of](#page-5-0) the arene acting as aryl radical acceptor. Large amounts of aryl radical acceptor are commonly re[qui](#page-5-0)red to counterbalance the relatively slow addition of aryl radicals to most functionalized benzene derivatives.¹⁴ Moreover, new reactions should be sustainable and ideally be feasible under metal-free conditions. Based on the tradi[tio](#page-5-0)nal aryl radical sources for arylation

reactions, which are aryl diazonium salts, 15 remarkable advances have recently been achieved by employing photocatalysts¹⁶ and optimized Gomberg−Bachmann con[dit](#page-5-0)ions.¹⁷ In addition, bromo- and iodobenzenes, which had for decades been [ma](#page-5-0)inly applied in combination with organostannanes, 18 18 18 have now been shown to be valuable aryl radical sources for the preparation of biphenyls under metal-free conditions.19,2[0](#page-5-0) Regarding aryl radical acceptors, unsubstituted benzene is still the most widely used compound due to the negligibili[ty o](#page-5-0)f regioselectivity issues.²¹ In this context, we have recently discovered that anilines are not only highly reactive scavengers for aryl radicals, there[by](#page-5-0) exceeding the capabilities of phenols, phenyl ethers, and nitrobenzenes, 22 but they do allow arylations to proceed with unprecedented regioselectivities.^{17,23} Based the advantages of such substrates, [w](#page-5-0)e now report the metal-free arylation of anilines using arylhydrazines as radi[cal s](#page-5-0)ources and dioxygen from air as simple oxidant under mild conditions. In general, radical arylations with arylhydrazines have so far required either pure oxygen atmosphere and catalysts,²⁴ an excess of an oxidizing agent, $23,25$ or harsh conditions.²⁶ Another aspect that will facilitate future applications is th[at](#page-5-0) arylhydrazines are readily availabl[e fro](#page-5-0)m aryldiazonium s[alts](#page-6-0) by reduction with such simple reagents as sodium sulfite.²⁷

The oxidation of arylhydrazines that leads to aryl radicals via the intermediate formation of instable [diaz](#page-6-0)enes has been known for a long time and studied intensively.²⁸ Beneficially, such oxidations of arylhydrazines can be carried out under mild conditions and also at low temperatures.^{[29](#page-6-0)} Our first experiments under air atmosphere (Table 1, entries 1 and 2) revealed that relatively long reaction times of 60 h [are](#page-6-0) required at room temperature and that chlorobenzen[e \(](#page-1-0)4), arising from hydrogen abstraction by the aryl radical, is formed as major byproduct.¹⁵

Received: January 10, 2014 Published: February 13, 2014

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Neat Reactions in Aniline

entry	conditions ^a	aminobiphenyl 3 ^b $\frac{9}{6}$	chlorobenzene 4 (%)
1	rt, 18 h	20^c	25^c
2	rt, 60 h	53^c	38 ^c
3	rt, 60 h, stream of air	39 ^d	nd^e
4	60 °C, 24 h	46	46
5	60 °C, 24 h, 5 equiv of H ₂ O	49	50
6	60 °C, 24 h, 20 equiv of H_2O	49	40
7	60 °C, 24 h, addition of 1 over 4 h	61	37
8	60 °C, 24 h, addition of 1 over 9 h	55	35
9	12 min, air, micromixer	0^c	0^c

a Standard conditions: 4-chlorophenylhydrazine (1) (1 mmol), 4 fluoroaniline (2) (20 mmol) under air. ^bYields determined by ¹H NMR using dimethyl terephthalate as internal standard. Teld determined by ¹H NMR referenced to 4-fluoroaniline. ^dYield determined after purification by column chromatography. ^eNot detected due to volatility of 4 in the stream of air.

While passing a stream of air through the reaction mixture did not significantly reduce the reaction time (entry 3), this was achieved by raising the temperature to 60 °C (entry 4). Attempts to decrease the amount of undesired chlorobenzene (4) through the addition of water, which can potentially stabilize the N−H bonds in the aniline and hydrazine by hydrogen bonding,³⁰ did not lead to improved product ratios of 3:4 (entries 5 and 6). Since previous studies had given a hint that arylhydrazine[s a](#page-6-0)re far more susceptible to hydrogen atom abstraction than anilines, 23,31 hydrazine 1 was then added to the reaction mixture in five batches over 4 h. Based on the now improved ratio of 61:37 [f](#page-5-0)[or](#page-6-0) 3:4 (entry 7), we tried to further exploit this effect by adding 1 even more slowly in 10 batches over 9 h (entry 8). The observation that the yield of 3 could not be further increased appeared to be due to a partial decomposition of the phenylhydrazine 1 under air, prior to the addition to the reaction mixture. An exemplary attempt to run the reaction in a microreactor failed completely (entry 9).

Having observed a remarkable instability of the arylhydrazines under air, which is crucial in the reaction mixture but an undesired property before addition, we turned to explore the use of the corresponding air-stable hydrazine hydrochloride 1 (×HCl). Upon addition of the hydrochloride 1 to biphasic mixtures of the aniline 2 and different inorganic bases in water (Table 2, entries 1−3), the best results were obtained for sodium hydroxide. Raising the reaction temperature to 60 °C again shortened the reaction time (entry 4), and the slow addition of 1 had the beneficial effect of further lowering the amount of undesired chlorobenzene (4). When the ratios of 3:4 in all biphasic attempts (Table 2) are compared with those observed in the homogeneous reactions (Table 1), hydrogen abstraction by the aryl radical appears to be less pronounced in aqueous biphasic systems.

With optimized conditions available, we then turned to investigate the scope and the limitations of this metal-free

Table 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Biphasic Reactions

5 60 °C, 24 h, NaOH (1 M), slow addition of 1 over 9 h 68^c 15^c a Standard conditions: 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (1 ×

HCl) (1 mmol) , 4-fluoroaniline (2) (20 mmol) , H₂O (1 mL) under Fig. b. bild determined by ¹H NMR referenced to 4-fluoroaniline.

²Yield determined by ¹H NMR using dimethyl terephthalate as Yield determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR using dimethyl terephthalate as internal standard.

synthetic access to biphenyls. In a first row of experiments a variety of substituted arylhydrazine hydrochlorides (1, 5−10) was reacted with 4-fluoroaniline (2) (Table 3, entries 1−7).

R^1	N H $1.5 - 10$ (slow add. over 9 h)	NH ₂ $O2$ (air) 60 - 90 °C, 24 h (NaOH) R^2 2, 11-16 $(20$ equiv)	R^1 NH ₂ R^2 3, 17-28
entry	hydrazine: R^1 =	aniline: R^2 =	aminobiphenyl ^{a,b} (%)
1	$1:4$ -Cl	2: F	3(55)
$\overline{2}$	5: H	2: F	17(48)
3	$6:4-F$	2: F	18(53)
$\overline{4}$	$7:3,4,5-F_3$	2: F	19(24)
5	$8: 3,4$ -Cl ₂	2: F	20(44)
6	$9:4-CN$	2: F	21(49)
7	10: 4-OMe	2: F	22(35)
8	$1: 4-C1$	11: H	23 (60, $o:p = 51:9$)
9	$1: 4$ -Cl	$12:$ Cl	24(47)
10	$1: 4$ -Cl	13:Br	25(57)
11	$1: 4-C1$	14: CN	26(27)
12	$1:4$ -Cl	15: OMe	27(42)
13	$1: 4$ -Cl	16: OEt	28(30)

a Standard conditions: hydrazine hydrochloride 1, 5−10 (1 mmol, slowly added to the reaction mixture in 10 batches over 9 h), aniline 2, 11−16 (20 mmol), NaOH (1M, 1 mL). ^bIsolated yields after purification by column chromatography.

Moderate to good yields were obtained for most substitution patterns on the arylhydrazine with two exceptions. The aromatic core of 3,4,5-trifluorophenylhydrazine (7) is probably too strongly activated toward nucleophilic substitution so that homocoupling of 7 (e.g., via the hydrazine unit acting as nucleophile) competes with the desired, but not too rapid, oxidation of the hydrazine. The fact that anisole was observed as the major product (60% yield) in the reaction of the electron-rich 4-methoxyphenylhydrazine (10) points to the

increased tendency of para-donor-substituted aryl radicals to stabilize themselves via hydrogen abstraction (entry 7). This effect was somehow unexpected since only methoxy groups in the ortho position were so far known to modify the reactivity of an aryl radical through their negative inductive effect on the carbon sceleton. 32 Substituents in the *para*-position, even with strong mesomeric effects, usually do not significantly change the reactivity o[f t](#page-6-0)he aryl radical since it is located in an sp^2 orbital which shows no major overlap with the conjugated π system.

In a second row of experiments, 4-chlorophenylhydrazine (1) was reacted with six different anilines (Table 3, entries 8− 13). The results clearly indicate that halogen-substituted unpolar anilines, including nonfunctionalized anil[in](#page-1-0)e (11), are the preferred substrates for the oxidative arylation reaction (entries 8−10). Two repetitions of the experiment leading to aminobiphenyl 23 (entry 8) gave yields of 57% ($o: p = 44:13$) and 68% ($o:p = 55:13$), thus demonstrating a reasonable reproducibility. Lower yields were obtained with the 4-cyano, 4 methoxy, and 4-ethoxy derivatives 14−16 (entries 11−13). Since no major products other than the desired 2-aminobiphenyls 26−28 could be detected after recovery of the excess of aniline by Kugelrohr distillation and only volatile side products had thus been formed, the nitrile or alkoxy groups apparently led to increased hydrogen abstraction by the aryl radical. This could to a certain degree be due to a simple polarity effect in the sense that there is a less distinct phase separation which in turn makes the reaction conditions more comparable to the homogeneous variant (Table 1). These conditions had previously led to increased hydrogen abstraction. The explanation that a radical-stabil[iz](#page-1-0)ing substituent in the para-position of the aniline, such as alkoxy or cyano, could increase the hydrogen atom donor capabilities of the amino group due to the formation of a related nitrogencentered "benzylic" radical³³ appears less probable since nonvolatile products should arise from that side reaction.

Remarkably, no regioisom[ers](#page-6-0) resulting from an attack of the aryl radical in 3-position of aniline could be detected in the reaction mixtures by ¹H NMR analysis. We therefore estimate the ortho:meta regioselectivity of the aniline arylation to be at least 20:1. Such high regioselectivities were especially surprising for 4-anisidine (15) and 4-phenetidine (16), since previous studies with these substrates had shown that an additional donor substitutent on the aniline can significantly decrease the ortho: meta regioselectivity to ratios of only $3.5:1.^{17}$

The strong directing effect of the amino group in anilines, which is probably further supported by a stabilizin[g e](#page-5-0)ffect of the amino group on the intermediate cyclohexadienyl radical (cf. 37, Scheme 2), is currently our only explanation for the high regioselectivity. The magnitude of these effects also became apparent in a comparative experiment with 4-fluoroanisole (29). Under otherwise identical conditions, and with 4 chlorophenylhydrazine (1) as aryl radical source, the ortho and meta arylation products 30 and 30′ were formed in yields of 36% and 9%, respectively, and thus with much less regioselectivity.

We further investigated the feasibility of the metal-free radical arylation on a larger, 20-fold scale. Starting from 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride 1 (×HCl) and aniline (11), the desired 4′-chlorobiphenyl-2-amine (23) was obtained in a yield of 43% (cf. 51% in Table 3, entry 8) along with 9% of its regioisomer 4′-chlorobiphenyl-4-amine after distillative recovery of aniline (11) and colu[mn](#page-1-0) chromatography (Scheme

1). Aminobiphenyl 23 represents a key buildling block for the fungicide boscalid and is currently produced through

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2-Aminobiphenyl 23 on a Larger Scale

palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of 2-chloronitrobenzene and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid.⁷

A plausible reaction mechanism for all arylations described above is depicted in Scheme 2. [A](#page-5-0)fter a rapid formation of the

free phenylhydrazine 34 from its hydrochloride salt 31 a slow oxidation to a phenyldiazene 35 occurs. The diazene 35 is then rapidly converted to an aryl radical 36 in the presence of $oxygen₁²⁸$ and its addition to an aniline 32 provides the cyclohexadienyl intermediate 37. Final oxidation of 37, which is again k[no](#page-6-0)wn to be fast in presence of $oxygen, ³⁴$ leads to 2aminobiphenyls 33. Representing a key element of the process, the only slow step from hydrazine 34 to diazene [3](#page-6-0)5 requires a slow addition of the hydrochloride 31 to the reaction mixture to minimize hydrogen abstraction from 34 by the aryl radical 36.

In summary, we have described an improved, now metal-free access to 2-aminobiphenyls using anilines, arylhydrazines, and air as oxidant. The directing effect of the unprotonated amino functionality leads to product formation with high regioselectivity. From a synthetic standpoint, advantages of the new methodology result from the use of cheap and readily available starting materials as well as sustainable and mild conditions. Since the arylation reactions are particularly well suited for arylhydrazines and anilines bearing halogen atoms, they are moreover a valuable extension to known palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Solvents and reagents were used as received. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on 360 and 600 MHz spectrometers using CDCl₃ as solvent referenced to TMS (0 ppm) or CHCl₃ (7.26 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 90.6 and 150.9 MHz in $CDCl₃$ using $CDCl₃$ (77.0 ppm) as standard. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm). ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded at 338.8 MHz using CFCl₃ (0 ppm) or C_6F_6 (−164.9 ppm) as standard. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). Coupling constants are in reported in hertz (J, Hz). The following abbreviations are used for the description of signals: s (singlet), bs (broad singlet), d (doublet), dd (double doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet), m (multiplet). Mass spectra were recorded using electron impact (EI). A sector field mass analyzer was used for HRMS measurements. Analytical TLC was carried out on Merck silica gel plates using short wave (254 nm) UV light and KMnO₄ to visualize components. Silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 40–63 μ m, Merck) was used for flash column chromatography.

Starting materials: hydrazine hydrochlorides 1, 5, 9, and 10 and all anilines 2 and 11−16 were commercially available.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Arylhydrazine
Hydrochlorides.²³ To a solution of the aniline (10.0 mmol) in acetic acid (5.0 mL) was added concentrated hydrochloric acid (10 mL) at room te[mper](#page-5-0)ature. After cooling to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, a solution of NaNO₂ (830 mg, 12.0 mmol) in water (3.0 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 20 min, and stirring at 0 °C was continued for further 30 min. A precooled solution of tin(II) chloride dihydrate (5.00 g, 22.0 mmol) in concentrated hydrochloric acid (10 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 10 min. After 1 h of stirring at 0 °C, the formed precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried in vacuo. The hydrazine hydrochloride was used without further purification.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Aminobiphenyls from Arylhydrazine Hydrochlorides. A mixture of the aniline (20.0 mmol) and aqueous sodium hydroxide (1 M, 1.0 mL) was heated to 60−90 °C, and the arylhydrazine hydrochloride was added portionwise in 10 batches over a period of 9 h. The reactions were completed after 24 h at the given temperature, as monitored by TLC. After removal of water under reduced pressure, the remaining aniline was recovered by Kugelrohr distillation, and the crude 2-aminobiphenyls were purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc = $8:1$) unless otherwise noted.

4′-Chloro-5-fluorobiphenyl-2-amine (3). Compound 3 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 3 (121 mg, 0.55 mmol, 55%): dark brown oil; R_f = 0.4 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.70 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.8 Hz, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, J_{HF} = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (ddd, J = 3.0 Hz, J_{HF} = 8.2 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 115.2 (d, J_{CF} = 22.2 Hz, CH), 116.5 (d, J_{CF} = 22.6 Hz, CH), 116.8 (d, J_{CF} = 7.8 Hz, CH), 127.5 (d, J_{CF} = 7.3 Hz, C_q), 129.1 (2 × CH), 130.3 (2 × CH), 133.6 (C_q) 136.9 (d, J_{CF} = 1.7 Hz, C_q), 139.2 (d, J_{CF} = 2.2 Hz, Cq), 155.1 (d, J_{CF} = 243.9 Hz, C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

5-Fluorobiphenyl-2-amine (17). Compound 17 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and phenylhydrazine hydrochlorid[e](#page-5-0) (99.0 μ L, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure [at](#page-5-0) 60 °C. The excess of 4-fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 17 (99.0 mg, 0.48 mmol, 48%): brown oil; $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane/ EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.9 Hz, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 - 6.90 (m, 2 H), 7.34−7.38 (m, 1 H), 7.42−7.47 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 114.8 (d, J_{CF} = 22.3 Hz, CH), 116.5 (d, J_{CF} = 22.4 Hz, CH), 116.7 (d, J_{CF} = 7.9 Hz, CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.7 (d, J_{CF} = 7.2 Hz, C_q), 128.9 (4 × CH), 138.5 $(d, J_{CF} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}, C_q)$, 139.1 $(d, J_{CF} = 2.3 \text{ Hz}, C_q)$, 156.4 $(d, J_{CF} = 235.4$ Hz, C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4',5-Difluorobiphenyl-2-amine (18). Compound 18 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 4-fluorophenylhydrazine hydroc[hlor](#page-5-0)ide (163 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 18 (108 mg, 0.53 mmol, 53%): black crystalline solid; mp 88–94 °C; R_f = 0.4 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR

 $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 6.69 \text{ (dd, } J_{HF} = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.83$ (dd, J = 3.0 Hz, J_{HF} = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.84–6.89 (m, 1 H), 7.13 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 1_{HF} = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.40 (dd, J_{HF} = 5.4 Hz, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 115.0 (d, J_{CF} = 22.3 Hz, CH), 115.8 (d, J_{CF} = 21.4 Hz, 2 \times CH), 116.6 (d, J_{CF} = 7.7 Hz, CH), 116.7 (d, J_{CF} = 22.5 Hz, CH), 127.7 (d, J_{CF} = 7.2 Hz, C_q), 130.6 (d, J_{CF} = 8.0 Hz, 2 \times CH), 134.4 (dd, $J_{CF} = 1.6$ Hz, $J_{CF} = 3.3$ Hz, C_q), 139.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 2.3$ Hz, C_q), 156.3 (d, J_{CF} = 237.5 Hz, C_q), 162.3 (d, J_{CF} = 247.2 Hz, C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

3′,4′,5′,5-Tetrafluorobiphenyl-2-amine (19). Compound 19 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 3,4,5 trifl[uo](#page-5-0)rophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (199 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4 fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 19 (57.0 mg, 0.24 mmol, 24%): dark red oil; $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 6.71 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.8 Hz, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 $(dd, J = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (ddd, $J = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 8.1$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.06–7.13 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 113.1 (dd, J_{CF} = 8.9 Hz, J_{CF} = 22.0 Hz, 2 × CH), 116.0 (d, J_{CF} = 22.2 Hz, CH), 118.9 (d, J_{CF} = 23.9 Hz, CH), 124.4 (d, J_{CF} = 8.9 Hz, C_a), 129.9 (d, J_{CF} = 7.7 Hz, CH), 130.1 (d, J_{CF} = 1.9 Hz, C_q), 135.4 (dt, J_{CF} $= 4.9$ Hz, $J_{CF} = 7.9$ Hz, C_q), 138.9 (dt, $J_{CF} = 15.2$ Hz, $J_{CF} = 251.9$ Hz, C_q), 143.1 (d, J_{CF} = 252.0 Hz, C_q), 151.6 (ddd, J_{CF} = 4.4 Hz, J_{CF} = 9.7 Hz, J_{CF} = 256.1 Hz, 2 × C_q); MS (EI) m/z 241 [M⁺] (100), 242 (36), 240 (46), 239 (29), 222 (26), 221 (46), 220 (31), 193 (13), 120 (11), 110 (11), 18 (30); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{12}H_7F_4N$ [M⁺] 241.0515, found 241.0515.

3′,4′-Dichloro-5-fluorobiphenyl-2-amine (20). Compound 20 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 3′,4′ dichlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (214 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4 fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 20 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol, 39%): brown oil; $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ ['] δ 6.69 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.8 Hz, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 $(dd, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, J_{HF} = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, 6.89 \text{ (ddd}, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, J_{HF} = 8.1 \text{ Hz},$ $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (dd, $J = 2.0$ Hz $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 115.8 (d, J_{CF} = 22.3 Hz, CH), 116.4 (d, J_{CF} = 22.8 Hz, CH), 116.9 (d, J_{CF} = 7.7 Hz, CH), 125.7 (d, J_{CF} = 7.3 Hz, C_q), 128.9 (CH), 130.8 (2 \times CH), 132.1 (C_q), 133.2 (C_q), 138.4 (d, J_{CF} = 2.5 Hz, C_q), 139.4 (d, J_{CF} = 2.1 Hz, C_q), 156.3 (d, J_{CF} = 237.2 Hz, C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′-Cyano-5-fluorobiphenyl-2-amine (21). Compound 21 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 4 cyanophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (169 mg, 1.00 [mmo](#page-5-0)l) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 21 (103 mg, 0.49 mmol, 49%): brown crystals; mp 163–165 °C; $R_f = 0.2$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.73 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.7 Hz, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (dd, $J = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 9.1$ Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (dt, $J = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 8.1$ Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 111.4 (C_q), 116.3 (d, J_{CF} = 18.3 Hz, CH), 116.6 (d, J_{CF} = 18.9 Hz, CH), 117.2 (d, J_{CF} = 7.5 Hz, CH), 118.6 (C_q), 126.3 (d, J_{CF} = 11.3 Hz, C_q), 129.7 (2 × CH), 132.7 (2 × CH), 139.4 (d, J_{CF} = 2.0 Hz, C_q), 143.1 (d, J_{CF} = 2.0 Hz, C_q), 156.4 (d, J_{CF} = 237.4 Hz, C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 23.

5-Fluoro-4′-methoxybiphenyl-2-amine (22). Compound 22 was prepared from 4-fluoroaniline (1.92 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 4 methoxypheny[lhy](#page-5-0)drazine hydrochloride (175 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4 fluoroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 22 (76.0 mg, 0.35 mmol, 35%): dark brown oil; R_f = 0.3 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 3.85 (s, 3 H), 6.68 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.9 Hz, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.80−6.70 (m, 2 H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.9

Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.3 (CH₃), 114.4 (2 \times CH), 114.6 (d, $J_{CF} = 22.3$ Hz, CH), 116.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 22.3$ Hz, CH), 116.6 (d, J_{CF} = 7.8 Hz, CH), 128.2 (d, J_{CF} = 7.5 Hz, C_q), 130.0 (2 × CH), 130.3 (C_q), 139.7 (d, J_{CF} = 2.0 Hz, C_q), 156.7 (d, J_{CF} = 236.0 Hz, (C_q) , 159.4 (C_q) . The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 23.

4′-Chlorobiphenyl-2-amine (23) and 4′-Chlorobiphenyl-4-amine (23′). Compounds 23 and 23′ were prepared from aniline (1.82 mL, 20.0 mmol) and 4-[chlo](#page-5-0)rophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of aniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 75 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 23 (104 mg, 0.51 mmol, 51%) as a black solid, mp 65−67 °C, and 23′ (18.0 mg, 0.09 mmol, 9%) as a brown solid, mp 118 °C−120 °C. 4′-Chlorobiphenyl-2-amine (23): $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (dd, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dt, J = 1.2 Hz, J $= 7.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (dd, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (ddd, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.38−7.42 (m, 4 H); 13C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 115.6 (CH), 118.4 (CH), 126.3 (C_a), 128.7 (CH), 129.1 (2 \times CH), 130.3 (CH), 130.5 (2 \times CH), 133.6 (C_a), 136.9 (C_q), 143.2 (C_q). 4'-Chlorobiphenyl-4-amine (23'): $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1)¹ (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 115.4 (2 × CH), 127.4 (2 \times CH), 127.9 (2 \times CH), 128.7 (2 \times CH), 130.2 (C_q), 132.5 (C_q), 139.8 (C_q), 146.7 (C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′,5-Dichlorobiphenyl-2-amine (24). Compound 24 was prepared from 4-chloroaniline (2.55 g, 20.0 mmol) and 4-chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 [mm](#page-5-0)ol) according to the general procedure at 80 °C. The excess of 4-chloroaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 120 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 24 (140 mg, 0.47 mmol, 47%): dark brown oil; $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.69 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (dd, J = 2.5 Hz, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H), 7.41 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 116.9 (CH), 124.0 (C_q), 128.3 (CH), 129.1 (2 \times CH), 130.0 (2 \times CH), 130.6 (CH), 131.6 (C_q), 133.5 (C_q), 136.1 (C_q), 141.8 (C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

5-Bromo-4′-chlorobiphenyl-2-amine (25). Compound 25 was prepared from 4-bromoaniline (3.44 g, 20.0 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride [\(17](#page-5-0)9 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 70 °C. The excess of 4-bromoaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 105 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 25 (160 mg, 0.57 mmol, 57%): dark brown oil; R_f = 0.6 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (dd, $J = 2.3$ Hz, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2 H), 7.40 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 110.6 (C_q), 118.4 (CH), 129.1 (2 × CH), 130.3 (2 × CH), 130.6 (C_q), 131.5 (CH), 132.1 (C_q) , 132.8 (CH), 133.9 (C_q) , 135.9 (C_q) . The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′-Chloro-5-cyanobiphenyl-2-amine (26). Compound 26 was prepared from 4-aminobenzonitrile (2.36 g, 20.0 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 [mmo](#page-5-0)l) according to the general procedure at 90 °C. The excess of 4-aminobenzonitrile was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 130 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 26 (61.0 mg, 0.27 mmol, 27%): red oil; $R_f = 0.7$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.74 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.33–7.37 (m, 3 H), 7.42 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (91) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 100.7 (C_q), 115.4 (CH), 119.5 (C_q), 126.1 (C_q), 129.0 (2 × CH), 130.4 (2 × CH), 132.9 (CH), 134.2 (C_q), 134.4 (CH), 135.5 (C_q), 147.6 (C_q). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′-Chloro-5-methoxybiphenyl-2-amine (27). Compound 27 was prepared from 4-methoxyaniline (2.46 g, 20.0 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride [\(17](#page-5-0)9 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-methoxyaniline was

removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 120 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 6:1) to give 27 (99.0 mg, 0.42 mmol, 42%): black crystalline solid; mp 88−92 °C; R_f = 0.6 (CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc = 50:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.77 (s, 3 H), 6.70 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.39−7.44 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (91 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.8 (CH₃), 114.7 (C_q), 115.7 (CH), 117.1 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 129.0 (2 × CH), 130.4 (2 × CH), 133.2 (C_a), 136.9 (Cq), 137.9 (C_a), 152.9 (C_a). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′-Chloro-5-ethoxybiphenyl-2-amine (28). Compound 28 was prepared from 4-ethoxyaniline (2.59 g, 20.0 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 [mmo](#page-5-0)l) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-ethoxyaniline was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 130 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 6:1) to give 28 (80.0 mg, 0.30 mmol, 30%): black oil; $R_f = 0.4$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.38 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3 H), 3.98 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2 H), 6.70 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (dd, $J = 2.8$ Hz, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1 H), 7.40 $(s, 4 H)$; ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.0 (CH₃), 64.8 (CH₂), 115.7 (CH), 116.7 (CH), 117.5 (CH), 127.9 (C_a), 129.0 (2 \times CH), 130.4 (2 × CH), 133.2 (C_a), 136.9 (Cq), 137.9 (C_a), 152.9 (C_a). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

4′-Chloro-5-fluoro-2-methoxybiphenyl (30) and 4′-chloro-6 fluoro-3-methoxybiphenyl (30'). Compounds 30 and 30' were prepared from 4-fluoroanisole (2.26 mL, 2.59 g, 20.0 mmol) an[d](#page-5-0) [4](#page-5-0) chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (179 mg, 1.00 mmol) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. The excess of 4-fluoroanisole was removed by Kugelrohr distillation in vacuo at 110 °C. The crude products were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ EtOAc = $8:1$) to give the regioisomers 4'-chloro-5-fluoro-2methoxybiphenyl (30) (86.0 mg, 0.36 mmol, 36%) as a brown oil and 4′-chloro-6-fluoro-3-methoxybiphenyl (30′) as a brown oil (22.0 mg, 0.09 mmol, 9%). 4'-Chloro-5-fluoro-2-methoxybiphenyl (30): R_f $= 0.7$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.76 (s, 3 H), 6.89 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.9 Hz, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.97–7.03 (m, 2 H), 7.37 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 56.2 (CH₃), 112.4 (d, J_{CF} = 8.3 Hz, CH), 114.6 (d, J_{CF} = 22.6 Hz, CH), 117.2 (d, J_{CF} = 23.5 Hz, CH), 128.3 (2 × CH), 128.6 (C_q), 130.7 (2 × CH), 133.4 (C_q), 135.8 (d, J_{CF} = 1.6 Hz, C_q), 152.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 8.1$ Hz, C_q), 157.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 240.4$ Hz C_q); MS (EI) m/z 238 (30) $[^{37}$ Cl-M⁺], 237 (14), 236 (100) $[^{35}$ Cl-M⁺], 221 (19), 186 (98), 157 (24); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{13}H_{10}^{35}C$ IFO $[M^+]$ 236.0404, found 236.0404. 4′-Chloro-6-fluoro-3-methoxybiphenyl (30'): $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) (UV); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.79 (s, 3 H), 6.78 (d, J_{HF} = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (dd, J_{HF} = 4.0 Hz, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (dd, J_{HF} = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.41–7.48 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.8 (CH₃), 114.8 (C_q), 115.3 (d, J_{CF} = 8.0 Hz, CH), 116.8 (d, J_{CF} = 23.5 Hz, CH), 120.4 (d, J_{CF} = 7.9 Hz, CH), 129.2 (2 × CH), 130.4 (2 × CH), 133.9 (C_q), 135.7 (d, J_{CF} = 1.6 Hz, C_q), 146.3 (d, J_{CF} = 20.2 Hz, C_q), 153.8 (d, J_{CF} = 240.4 Hz, C_q); MS (EI) m/z 237 (8), 236 (14), 235 (4), 234 (9), 199 (5), 193 (7), 163 (9), 137 (6), 127 (5), 117 (5), 76 (6), 57 (4), 53 (6), 43 (4), 27 (6), 18 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{13}H_{10}C$ [M⁺] 236.0404, found 236.0405.

Experiment on a Larger Scale: 4′-Chlorobiphenyl-2-amine (23). Compound 23 was prepared from aniline (37.2 mL, 400 mmol) and 4 chlorophenylhydrazine hydrochloride (3.58 g, 20.0 mmol) in the presence of aqueous sodium hydroxide (1M, 20.0 mL) according to the general procedure at 60 °C. After phase separation and 3-fold extraction of the aqueous phase with CH₂Cl₂ (3×20 mL), the excess of aniline could be recovered in high purity by distillation in vacuo at 80 °C. Purification by column chromatography gave 23 (1.72 g, 8.48 mmol, 43%) and 23′ (357 mg, 1.76 mmol, 9%). The analytical data obtained are in agreement with those reported in ref 17.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all 2-aminobiphenyls 3 and 17− 28 and biphenyl ethers 30 and 30′. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: markus.heinrich@fau.de.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competin](mailto:markus.heinrich@fau.de)g financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Götz and Dr. Zierke (Crop Protection, BASF SE, Ludwigshafen) for helpful discussions. The financial support of H.J. by the Graduate School of Molecular Science and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Costantino, L.; Barlocco, D. Curr. Med. Chem. 2006, 13, 65. (b) Jiang, H.; Sun, J.; Zhang, J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 2014. (c) McGlacken, G. P.; Bateman, L. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2447. (2) (a) Matsubara, S.; Asano, K.; Kajita, Y.; Yamamoto, M. Synthesis 2007, 2055. (b) Price, J. R.; Lan, Y.; Brooker, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2007, 1807. (c) Fliedel, C.; Maisse-Francois, A.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2007, 360, 143. (d) Fedushkin, I. L.; Chudakova, V. A.; Skatova, A. A.; Khvoinova, N. M.; Kurskii, Y. A.; Glukhova, T. A.; Fukin, G. K.; Dechert, S.; Hummert, M.; Schumann, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2004, 630, 501. (e) Pan, X.; Wilcox, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6445. (f) Hoffmann-Emery, F.; Jakob-Roetne, R.; Flohr, A.; Bliss, F.; Reents, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6380.

(3) (a) Eicken, K.; Rack, M.; Wetterich, F.; Ammermann, E.; Lorenz, G.; Strathmann, S. (BASF SE) DE19735224, 1999; Chem. Abstr. 1999, 130, 182464. (b) Eicken, K.; Rang, H.; Harreus, A.; Goetz, N.; Ammermann, E.; Lorenz, G.; Strathmann, S. (BASF SE) DE19531813, 1997; Chem. Abstr. 1997, 126, 264007.

(4) Jö rges, W.; Heinrich, J.-D.; Lantzsch, R. (Bayer Cropscience) WO2006024388, 2006; Chem. Abstr. 2006, 144, 253890.

(5) Dietz, J.; Strathmann, S.; Stierl, R.; Montag, J. (BASF SE) WO 2007128756, 2007; Chem. Abstr. 2007, 147, 516420.

(6) (a) Beller, M.; Bolm, C. Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.;Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (b) de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004. (c) Bonin, H.; Fouquet, E.; Felpin, F.-X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3063.

(7) Rouhi, A. M. Chem. Eng. News 2004, 82, 49.

(8) For recently developed alternative synthetic strategies toward boscalid, see: (a) Gooßen, L. J.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. Science 2006, 313, 662. (b) Glasnov, T. N.; Kappe, C. O. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 3089. (c) Caron, L.; Campeau, L.-C.; Fagnou, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4533. (d) Felpin, F.-X.; Fouquet, E.; Zakri, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 649. (e) Spivey, A. C.; Tseng, C.-C.; Hannah, J. P.; Gripton, C. J. G.; de Fraine, P.; Parr, N. J.; Scicinski, J. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2926.

(9) For review articles on the homolytic aromatic substitution, see: (a) Bolton, R.; Williams, G. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1986, 15, 261. (b) Studer, A. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis, 1st ed.; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; p 44. (c) Bowman, W. R.; Storey, J. M. D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1803. (d) Vaillard, S. E.; Schulte, B.; Studer, A. In Modern Arylation Methods; Ackermann, L., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; p 475.

(10) For review articles on C−H activation, see: (a) Dyker, G. Handbook of C−H Transformations; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005. (b) Ackermann, L. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 24, 35. (c) Li, B.-J.; Yang, S.-D.; Shi, Z.-J. Synlett 2008, 949. (d) Lersch, M.; Tilset, M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2471.

(11) For recent articles on C−H activation, see: (a) Ishikawa, A.; Nakao, Y.; Sato, H.; Sakaki, S. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 3279. (b) Foley, N. A.; Ke, Z.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Cundari, T. R.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3007. (c) Ciana, C.-L.; Phipps, R. J.; Brandt, J. R.; Meyer, F.-M.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 458. (d) Cho, J.-Y.; Tse, M. K.; Holmes, D.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Smith, M. R., III. Science 2002, 295, 305. (e) Chen, X.; Hao, X.-S.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6790. (f) Phipps, R. J.; Grimster, N. P.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8172. (g) Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. Science 2009, 323, 1593. (h) Vallée, F.; Mousseau, J. J.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1514. (i) Liu, W.; Cao, H.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2004. (j) Pirali, T.; Zhang, F.; Miller, A. H.; Head, J. L.; McAusland, D.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1006.

(12) (a) Gomberg, M.; Bachmann, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1924, 46, 2339. (b) Dermer, O. C.; Edmison, M. T. Chem. Rev. 1957, 57, 77.

(13) Beadle, J. R.; Korzeniowski, S. H.; Rosenberg, D. E.; Garcia-Slanga, B. J.; Gokel, G. W. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1594.

(14) For the rate of addition of aryl radicals to benzene, see: Scaiano, J. C.; Stewart, L. C J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3609.

(15) Galli, C. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 765.

(16) (a) Kosynkin, D.; Bockman, T. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4846. (b) Hari, D. P.; Schroll, P.; Kö nig, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2958. (c) Kalyani, D.; McMurtrey, K. B.; Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18566.

(17) Pratsch, G.; Wallaschkowski, T.; Heinrich, M. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 11555.

(18) For reviews, see: (a) Vaillard, S. E.; Studer, A. Radical Arylations. In Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology, and Materials; Chatgilialoglu, C., Studer, A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 2012; Vol. 2. (b) Jasch, H.; Heinrich, M. R. Tin Hydrides and Functional Group Transformations. In Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology, and Materials; eds. Chatgilialoglu, C., Studer, A., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2012; Vol. 2. (c) Pratsch, G.; Heinrich, M. R. In Topics in Current Chemistry; Heinrich, M. R., Gansäuer, A., Eds.; Springer: New York, 2012 ;Vol. 320.

(19) (a) Yanagisawa, S.; Ueda, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Itami, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4673. (b) Sun, C.-L.; Li, H.; Yu, D.-G.; Yu, M.; Zhou, X.; Lu, X.-Y.; Huang, K.; Zheng, S.-F.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 1044. (c) Shirakawa, E.; Itoh, K.-I.; Higashino, T.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15537. (d) Liu, W.; Cao, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, H.; Chung, K. H.; He, C.; Wang, H.; Kwong, F. Y.; Lei, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16737.

(20) For possible reaction mechanisms, see: Studer, A.; Curran, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5018.

(21) (a) Chaturbhuj, G. U.; Akamanchi, K. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4950. (b) Curran, D. P.; Keller, A. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13706. (c) Demir, A. S.; Reis, Ö.; Emrullahoglu, M. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 578.

(22) (a) Wetzel, A.; Ehrhardt, V.; Heinrich, M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9130. (b) Wetzel, A.; Pratsch, G.; Kolb, R.; Heinrich, M. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2547.

(23) Jasch, H.; Scheumann, J.; Heinrich, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10699.

(24) (a) Taniguchi, T.; Sugiura, Y.; Zaimoku, H.; Ishibashi, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10154. (b) Taniguchi, T.; Zaimoku, H.; Ishibashi, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 4307.

(25) (a) Demir, A. S.; Reis, Ö.; Ö zgül-Karaaslan, E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 3042. (b) Demir, A. S.; Findik, H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 6196. (c) Demir, A. S.; Reis, Ö.; Emrullahoğlu, M. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 8055. (d) Mohammadpoor-Baltork, I.; Hajipour, A. R.; Mohammadi, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1998, 71, 1649. (e) Johnson, M. D.; Hornstein, B. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 965. (f) Firouzabadi, H.; Mohajer, D.; Entezari-Moghadam, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 2185. (g) Zhang, C.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6174. (h) Hu, Z.; Kerton, F. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 1618. (i) Hadjiarapoglou, L.;

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

Spyroudis, S.; Varvoglis, A. Synthesis 1983, 207. (j) Shine, H. J.; Zmuda, H.; Kwart, H.; Horgan, A. G.; Breichbiel, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5181. (k) Shine, H. J.; Zmuda, H.; Park, K. H.; Kwart, H.; Horgan, A. G.; Brechbiel, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2501. (l) Hoogewerff, S.; van Dorp, W. A. Chem. Ber. 1877, 10, 1936. (m) Bamberger, E.; Tschirner, F. Chem. Ber. 1898, 31, 1522. (n) Ruether, T.; Jackson, W. R.; Bond, A. M. Aust. J. Chem. 2002, 55, 691. (o) Patel, S.; Mishra, B. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1371. (p) Zhang, L.; Xia, J.; Li, Q.; Li, X.; Wang, S. Organometallics 2011, 30, 375.

(26) Chen, Z.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2380.

(27) Coleman, G. H. Org. Synth. 1922, 2.

(28) (a) Huang, P. C.; Kosower, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89,

3910. (b) Huang, P. C.; Kosower, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2367. (c) Kosower, E. M.; Huang, P. C.; Tsuji, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2325. (d) Myers, A. G.; Movassaghi, M.; Zheng, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6569.

(29) Braslau, R.; Burrill, L. C., II; Mahal, L. K.; Wedeking, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 237.

(30) Amorati, R.; Valgimigli, L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4147. (31) For recent advances on the development of diarylamine-based antioxidants, see: (a) Hanthorn, J. J.; Valgimigli, L.; Pratt, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8306. (b) Hanthorn, J. J.; Valgimigli, L.; Pratt, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6908.

(32) Moorthy, J. N.; Samanta, S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9786.

(33) (a) Amorati, R.; Ferroni, F.; Pedulli, G. F.; Valgimigli, L. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9654. (b) Amorati, R.; Valgimigli, L.; Panzella, L.; Napolitano, A.; d'Ischia, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9857.

(34) Curran, D. P.; Keller, A. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13706.